

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,066	09/30/2003	James M. Cicchiello	NORTE-509A	5875
7663 7	590 10/13/2006	•	EXAMINER	
- ·	RUNDA GARRED & F	CURS, NATHAN M		
	TERPRISE, SUITE 250 O VIEJO, CA 92656 ART UNIT PAPER NUM		PAPER NUMBER	
712130 71200	, 011 /2000		2613	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			St			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/675,066	CICCHIELLO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nathan Curs	2613				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address	s			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL! - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO y statute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this commun ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 27 July 2006					
_	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for a	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,5-10 and 13-40 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,5-10 and 13-39 is/are allow 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 40 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration. ed.					
Application Papers	·					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 September 20</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	203 is/are: a) accepted or b) to the drawing(s) be held in abey correction is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action fo	uments have been received. uments have been received in the priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application Noen received in this National Stag	je			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-53) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application ·				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shen et al. ("Shen") (US Patent No. 5198653) in view of Berrios et al. ("Berrios") (US Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0046763).

Regarding claim 40, Shen discloses an optical head for a free space optical communications system, said optical head utilized for transmitting and receiving modulated laser beams (fig. 1 and col. 4, line 37 to col. 5, line 21), said optical head comprising: an ultrafine-steering element for laser beam control (fig. 1, element 46, where the "fine" steering mirror of Shen reads on the claimed "ultra-fine" element); a fine-steering element in optical communication with the ultrafine-steering element (fig. 1, element 48, where the "retarget" steering mirror of Shen reads on the claimed "fine" element); a coarse-steering element in optical communication with the fine-steering element (fig. 1, element 2, where the "BEX" steering element of Shen reads on the claimed "coarse" element); and a fine track sensor coupled to the modulated laser beam (fig. 1, element 34 and col. 5, lines 10-14). Shen disclose that the laser beams are for free-space optical communication, but does not disclose that the laser beams are infrared laser beams. Berrios discloses an optical free-space communication system using infrared laser beams (fig. 3 and paragraph 0033). It would have been obvious to

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use infrared lasers, since these are preferred for free-space optical communication as suggested by Berrios.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-10 and 13-39 are allowed.
- 4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to N. Curs whose telephone number is (571) 272-3028. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (from 9 AM to 5 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan, can be reached at (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for the

Application/Control Number: 10/675,066

Art Unit: 2613

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (800) 786-9199.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JASON CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 4